arXiv:1607.00461v1 [math.DS] 2 Jul 2016

FAST ESCAPING SETS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

ZHENG JIAN-HUA AND XUAN ZU-XING

Abstract. In this paper, we give a definition of Eremenko's point of a meromorphic function with infinitely many poles and a condition for its existence in narrow annuli in terms of a covering theorem of annulus.

1. Introduction and Main Results

Let f be a meromorphic function which is not a *Möbius* transformation and f^n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the nth iterate of f. The Fatou set $F(f)$ of f is defined to be the set of points, $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, such that $\{f^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is well-defined and forms a normal family in some neighborhood of z. The complement $J(f)$ of $F(f)$ is called the Julia set of f. The escaping set $I(f)$ of a transcendental entire function f attracts many interests. It is defined to be

$$I(f) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \ f^n(z) \text{ is well-defined and } f^n(z) \to \infty (n \to \infty)\}.$$

In view of the Wiman-Valiron Theory, Eremenko [7] proved $I(f) \neq \emptyset$ for a transcendental entire function f; Dominguez [6] extended the result to meromorphic functions with infinitely many poles.

For a transcendental entire function f, Bergweiler and Hinkkanen [3] introduced the set

$$A(f) = \{z : \text{ there exists } L \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |f^{n+L}(z)| \geq M_n(R, f), \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Here $M(r, f) = \max\limits_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$, for $r > 0$, and $M_n(r, f)$ is the n-th iterate of $M(r, f)$ and $R > \min_{z\in J(f)} |z|$. $A(f)$ is known as the fast escaping set of f and it exhibits many interested dynamical behaviors (cf. [12]).

Throughout the paper, we denote by $A(w_0; r, R)$ the annulus $\{z : r < |z - w_0| < R\}$; when $w_0 = 0$, we simply write $A(r, R)$ for $A(0; r, R)$; and set $\bar{A}(r, R) = \{z : r \leq |z| \leq R\}$; By $B(0, r)$ the disk centered at 0 with the radius r. In view of the Eremenko's method for proving the existence of escaping points, one establishes the following (cf. Theorem 2.5 of [5])

Theorem A. *Let f be a transcendental entire function and suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $R = R(f) > 0$ such that, if $r > R$, then there exists $z_0 \in \bar{A}(r, (1+\varepsilon)r)$ with*

$$|f^n(z_0)| \geq M_n(r, f), \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

z_0 in Theorem A is referred to as Eremenko's point and $R(f)$ is independent of n, but depends on f and ε. From Theorem A, it follows that $A(f) \neq \emptyset$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37F10 (primary), 30D05 (secondary).
Key words and phrases. Escaping Sets, Meromorphic Functions, Fatou set, Julia set.
Supported by the grant (No. 11571193) of NSF of China.

How does one extend the definition of $A(f)$ to a transcendental meromorphic function with infinitely many poles? This is also what Rippon and Stallard mentioned in [10]. However, in [14] we try to use the Nevanlinna characteristic function $T(r,f)$ of f instead of the maximum modulus of f to define the fast escaping set of f:

$$M(f) := \{z : \text{ there exists } L \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |f^{n+L}(z)| \geq \hat{T}_n(R,f), \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Here $\hat{T}(r,f) = \exp T(r,f)$ and $\hat{T}_n(r,f)$ is the n-th iterate of $\hat{T}(r,f)$. In [14], we have proved that

(1) $J(f) = \partial M(f)$;

(2) if U is a Fatou component of f and $U \cap M(f) \neq \emptyset$, then $U \subset M(f)$.

Since for a transcendental entire function f,

$$T(r,f) \leq \log M(r,f) \leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} T(R,f), \ R > r,$$

we easily see that $A(f) \subseteq M(f)$. Actually we have

Theorem B. *Let f be a transcendental entire function. Then $M(f) = A(f)$.*

In this paper, we consider the existence of Eremenko's points in a narrow annulus.

Theorem 1. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function such that*

$$T(r,f) \geq N(r,f)\phi(r)\log r, r \geq r_0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\phi(r) \to +\infty (r \to +\infty)$. Then for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, we have a $R = R(f)$ such that for $r > R$, there exists $z_0 \in \bar{A}(r,(1+\varepsilon)r)$ with

$$|f^n(z_0)| \geq \hat{T}_n(r,f), \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.2}$$

In particular,

$$\bar{A}(r,(1+\varepsilon)r) \cap M(f) \neq \emptyset.$$

The condition (1.1) is actually that $\frac{T(r,f)}{N(r,f)\log r} \to \infty (r \to \infty)$. We explain the necessity of condition (1.1) in Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *There exists a transcendental meromorphic function such that for a sequence $\{r_n\}$ of positive numbers tending to ∞, $A(r_n, r_n^2) \cap I(f) = \emptyset$, and in particular $A(r_n, r_n^2)$ contains no points in $M(f)$.*

Bergweiler, Rippon and Stallard [4] discussed the fast escaping set of a meromorphic function with infinitely many poles provided that the function has a direct tract. However, a meromorphic function with (1.1) may have no direct tracts. Consider the function $f(z) = e^{z^2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{n^2}\right)^{-1}$. Then the inverse of f has two indirect singularities over ∞ along the positive and negative real axes as the asymptotic paths, but it has no direct tracts. And $T(r,f) \sim 2r^2$ and $N(r,f) \sim 2r$ as $r \to \infty$. Therefore, the condition (1.1) holds for f. Consider the function $g(z) = e^{-iz} + f(z)$. Then g satisfies (1.1) and g has a direct tract D containing the positive imaginary axis such that $M_D(r,g) = \max\{|g(z)| : \ z \in D \text{ and } |z| = r\} \sim r$ as $r \to \infty$. And $A(D,g) \cap M(g) = \emptyset$, where $A(D,g) = \{z \in D : \ |g^{n+L}(z)| > (M_D)_n(R,g)\}$.

The previous function f has poles in annulus $A(r,(1+\varepsilon)r)$ for $\varepsilon r > 1$. We can modify the construction to produce a meromorphic function without direct tracts

satisfying (1.1) such that for any $K > 1$ there exist a sequence of positive numbers $\{r_n\}$ tending to infinity such that $A(r_n, Kr_n)$ contains no poles of it.

2. Lemmas

Firstly we state the following lemma (See Lemma 1 of [11]).

Lemma 1. *Let $E_n, n \geq 0$, be a sequence of compact sets in $\mathbb{C}$ and $f : \mathbb{C} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a continuous function such that*

$$E_{n+1} \subset f(E_n), \text{ for } n \geq 0.$$

Then there exists a ζ such that $f^n(\zeta) \in E_n$ for $n \geq 0$.

Secondly we need a covering lemma which comes from the hyperbolic metric. Let Ω be a hyperbolic domain in the extended complex plane $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, that is, $\hat{\mathbb{C}}\backslash\Omega$ contains at least three points. Then there exists on Ω the hyperbolic metric $\lambda_\Omega(z)|\mathrm{d}z|$ with Gaussian curvature -1 and $\lambda_\Omega(z)$ is the hyperbolic density of Ω at $z \in \Omega$. Then

$$\lambda_D(z) = \frac{1}{|z| \log |z|}, \ D = \{z : |z| > 1\}$$

and

$$\lambda_A(z) = \frac{\pi}{|z| \operatorname{mod}(A) \sin(\pi \log(R/|z|)/\operatorname{mod}(A))}, \forall\ z \in A = A(r, R), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\operatorname{mod}(A) = \log R/r$ is the modulus of A. The hyperbolic distance $d_\Omega(u, v)$ of two points $u, v \in \Omega$ is defined by

$$d_\Omega(u, v) = \inf_\alpha \int_\alpha \lambda_\Omega(z)\, |dz|\,,$$

where the infimum is taken over all peicewise smooth paths α in Ω joining u and v.

Lemma 2. *([15]) Let f be analytic on a hyperbolic domain U with $0 \notin f(U)$. If there exist two distinct points z_1 and z_2 in U such that $|f(z_1)| > e^{\kappa\delta}|f(z_2)|$, where $\delta = d_U(z_1, z_2)$ and $\kappa = \Gamma(1/4)^4/(4\pi^2)$, then there exists a point $\hat{z} \in U$ such that $|f(z_2)| \leq |f(\hat{z})| \leq |f(z_1)|$ and*

$$f(U) \supset A\left(e^\kappa \left(\frac{|f(z_2)|}{|f(z_1)|}\right)^{1/\delta} |f(\hat{z})|,\ e^{-\kappa} \left(\frac{|f(z_1)|}{|f(z_2)|}\right)^{1/\delta} |f(\hat{z})|\right). \tag{2.2}$$

As an application of Lemma 2, we discuss a theorem of Bhor which says that an analytic function on $B(0, 1)$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $M(s, f) \geq 1$ for some $0 < s < 1$ takes every value on the circle $|w| = r_s$ with $r_s \geq c(s)$ for an absolute constant $c(s)$ only depending on s; see [9], Page 170. Hayman [8] showed that the precis value of $c(s)$ is $\frac{(1-s)^2}{4s}$. So for $s = \frac{1}{2}$, the precise value $c(1/2)$ is $\frac{1}{8}$.

Bhor Theorem tells us that $f(B(0, 1)) \supset \{w : |w| = r_s\}$, then, of course, $f(B(0, 1))$ contains some annulus $A(r, R)$ with $R > r_s$, but we do not know, even from the proof of Hayman[8], how large the modulo of the annulus $A(r, R)$ is.

Theorem 3. *Let f be analytic on $B(0, 1)$, $f(0) = 0$ and for some $s \in (0, 1)$, $M(s, f) \geq 1$. Then $f(B(0, 1))$ covers an annulus $A(r_s, R_s)$ with $R_s > c(s) > 0$ and $\frac{R_s}{r_s} > t(s) > 1$, where $c(s)$ and $t(s)$ only depend on s such that $h(c(s), t(s)) \geq 0$ and*

$$h(x, t) = \exp\left\{-\kappa + \frac{\log \frac{1-x}{x}}{\log \frac{1+s}{1-s}}\right\} - t \exp\left\{\kappa - \frac{\log \frac{1-x}{x}}{\log \frac{1+s}{1-s}}\right\} - 1 - t,$$

for $0 < x < 1$ *and* $1 < t < \infty$.

Proof. Let us treat two cases.

Case A. Assume that there exists a point $w_0 \in \{c(s)/t(s) \le |z| \le c(s)\}$ such that $w_0 \notin f(B(0,1))$. Set $g(z) = f(z) - w_0$ and so $0 \notin g(B(0,1))$. Take a point z_0 with $|z_0| = s$ such that $|f(z_0)| = M(s,f) \ge 1$. We have

$$|g(0)| = |f(0) - w_0| = |w_0| \le c(s),\ |g(z_0)| \ge M(s,f) - |w_0| \ge 1 - c(s)$$

and

$$\delta := d_{B(0,1)}(0, z_0) = \log \frac{1+|z_0|}{1-|z_0|} = \log \frac{1+s}{1-s}.$$

Since $h(c(s), t(s)) \ge 0$, we have

$$e^{-\kappa} \left(\frac{1-c(s)}{c(s)} \right)^{1/\delta} > 1, \text{ i.e., } \frac{1-c(s)}{c(s)} > \left(\frac{1+s}{1-s} \right)^{\kappa} = e^{\kappa\delta}.$$

This yields

$$|g(z_0)| \ge 1 - c(s) \ge \frac{1-c(s)}{c(s)} |g(0)| > e^{\kappa\delta} |g(0)|.$$

In view of Lemma 2, there exists a point $\hat{z} \in B(0,1)$ such that $|w_0| = |g(0)| \le |g(\hat{z})| \le |g(z_0)|$ and

$$g(B(0,1)) \supset A \left(e^{\kappa} \left(\frac{|g(0)|}{|g(z_0)|} \right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})|,\ e^{-\kappa} \left(\frac{|g(z_0)|}{|g(0)|} \right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})| \right). \tag{2.3}$$

Since $h(c(s), t(s)) \ge 0$, we have

$$e^{-\kappa} \left(\frac{1-c(s)}{c(s)} \right)^{1/\delta} - t(s) e^{\kappa} \left(\frac{c(s)}{1-c(s)} \right)^{1/\delta} \ge 1 + t(s) > 2. \tag{2.4}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\kappa} \left(\frac{|g(z_0)|}{|g(0)|} \right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})| - e^{\kappa} \left(\frac{|g(0)|}{|g(z_0)|} \right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})| \\ \ge & \left(e^{-\kappa} \left(\frac{1-c(s)}{c(s)} \right)^{1/\delta} - e^{\kappa} \left(\frac{c(s)}{1-c(s)} \right)^{1/\delta} \right) |g(\hat{z})| \\ > & 2|w_0|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} f(B(0,1)) & \supset A \left(w_0; e^{\kappa} \left(\frac{|g(0)|}{|g(z_0)|} \right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})|,\ e^{-\kappa} \left(\frac{|g(z_0)|}{|g(0)|} \right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})| \right) \\ & \supset A \left(e^{\kappa} \left(\frac{c(s)}{1-c(s)} \right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})| + |w_0|,\ e^{-\kappa} \left(\frac{1-c(s)}{c(s)} \right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})| - |w_0| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$R_s := e^{-\kappa} \left(\frac{1-c(s)}{c(s)} \right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})| - |w_0|$$

and

$$r_s := e^{\kappa} \left(\frac{c(s)}{1-c(s)} \right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})| + |w_0|.$$

Thus in view of (2.4), we have

$$R_s \geq \left(e^{-\kappa}\left(\frac{1-c(s)}{c(s)}\right)^{1/\delta} - 1\right)|w_0| \geq \left(e^{-\kappa}\left(\frac{1-c(s)}{c(s)}\right)^{1/\delta} - 1\right)\frac{c(s)}{t(s)} > c(s).$$

Since

$$\left[e^{-\kappa}\left(\frac{1-c(s)}{c(s)}\right)^{1/\delta} - t(s)e^{\kappa}\left(\frac{c(s)}{1-c(s)}\right)^{1/\delta}\right]|g(\hat{z})| \geq (1+t(s))|w_0|,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_s &= e^{-\kappa}\left(\frac{1-c(s)}{c(s)}\right)^{1/\delta}|g(\hat{z})| - |w_0| \\ &\geq (1+t(s))|w_0| + t(s)e^{\kappa}\left(\frac{c(s)}{1-c(s)}\right)^{1/\delta}|g(\hat{z})| - |w_0| \\ &\geq t(s)r_s. \end{aligned}$$

Case B. Assume that $f(B(0,1)) \supset A(c(s)/t(s), c(s))$. Set $R_s = c(s)$ and $r_s = c(s)/t(s)$. The result of Theorem 3 holds immediately. □

Let us make a remark on $s, c(s)$ and $t(s)$. When $s = \frac{1}{2}$, take $c = [1+(4e^{\kappa})^{\log 3}]^{-1}$. Then

$$\exp\left(-\kappa + \log\frac{1-c}{c}\right) = 4, \text{ and } h(c,t) = 4 - 1 - t(1+\frac{1}{4}) = 3 - \frac{5}{4}t.$$

Take $t = \frac{12}{5}$ and $h(c,t) = 0$. Thus under the assumption of Theorem 3 with $s = \frac{1}{2}$, $f(B(0,1)) \supset A(r,R)$ with $R \geq [1+(4e^{\kappa})^{\log 3}]^{-1}$ and $R \geq \frac{12}{5}r$.

As $t \to 1^+$, $h(x,t) \to h(x,1)$. Consider the maximum value of x such that $h(x,1) > 0$. Set $A = \exp\left\{-\kappa + \frac{\log\frac{1-x}{x}}{\log\frac{1+s}{1-s}}\right\}$. Then $h(x,1) = A - \frac{1}{A} - 2 \geq 0$, and solving the inequality implies $A \geq 1+\sqrt{2}$. We have

$$x \leq \left[1 + \exp\left(\log((1+\sqrt{2})e^{\kappa}) \cdot \log\frac{1+s}{1-s}\right)\right]^{-1}.$$

$c(s)$ can take at most the number on the right side of the above inequality. For $s = \frac{1}{2}$, $c(1/2) \leq \left[1 + \left((1+\sqrt{2})e^{\kappa}\right)^{\log 3}\right]^{-1} < \frac{1}{8}$. Therefore, the $c(s)$ and $t(s)$ we have obtained are not best ones.

Lemma 3. *Let $r > 0$ and $d > 1$. Set $A = A(r, d^3r)$. Then for any two points z_2 with $|z_2| = dr$ and z_1 with $|z_1| = d^2r$ we have*

$$\frac{\pi}{3} \leq d_A(z_1, z_2) \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi}{9} + \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi^2}{9}\frac{1}{\log d}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. In view of (2.1), for all $z \in A(dr, d^2r)$ we have

$$\lambda_A(z) = \frac{\pi}{|z|\,3\log d\sin(\pi\log(d^3r/|z|)/(3\log d))} \geq \frac{\pi}{|z|\,3\log d}$$

and so

$$d_A(z_1, z_2) \geq \int_{\gamma}\frac{\pi|\mathrm{d}z|}{3\log d\,|z|} \geq \frac{\pi}{3\log d}\log\frac{|z_1|}{|z_2|} = \frac{\pi}{3},$$

where γ is the geodesic curve in A connecting z_1 and z_2.

Consider the opposite inequality. For all $z \in A(dr, d^2r)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_A(z) &= \frac{\pi}{|z|\,3\log d \sin(\pi \log(d^3 r/|z|)/(3\log d))} \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{3\log d\,|z|\sin(\pi\log(d^3r/d^2r)/(3\log d))} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{3\log d\,|z|\sin(\pi/3)} = \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi}{9\log d\,|z|},\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$d_A(z_1,z_2) \leq \int_\gamma \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi}{9\log d}\frac{|\mathrm{d}z|}{|z|} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi}{9\log d}\left(\log d + \frac{\pi d^2 r}{d^2 r}\right) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi}{9\log d}\left(\log d + \pi\right).$$

□

Please attention! The bounds of $d_A(z_1, z_2)$ are independent of r.

Thirdly we need the basic notations and results in Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions ([13]). Set $\log^+ x = \log\max\{1, x\}$. Let f be a meromorphic function. Define

$$m(r,f) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+|f(re^{i\theta})|\mathrm{d}\theta,$$

$$N(r,f) := \int_0^r \frac{n(t,f)-n(0,f)}{t}\mathrm{d}t + n(0,f)\log r,$$

where $n(t, f)$ denotes the number of poles of f counted according to their multiplicities in $\{z : |z| < t\}$, sometimes we write $n(t,\infty)$ for $n(t, f)$ and $n(t, 0)$ for $n\left(t, \frac{1}{f}\right)$ when f is clear in the context, and the Nevanlinna characteristic of f

$$T(r,f) := m(r,f) + N(r,f).$$

We write the results about the Nevanlinna characteristic we will use below as a lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function. Then*

(1) $\frac{T(r,f)}{\log r} \to \infty (r \to \infty)$;

(2) There exists a $r_0 > 0$ such that for $r \geq r_0$ and $K > 1$,

$$T(Kr,f) \geq \left(1 + \frac{\log K}{\log r}\right) T(r,f). \tag{2.6}$$

Since $T(r, f)$ is a logarithmic convex function, in view of Theorem 2.2 of [4], the result (2) in Lemma 4 follows from the result (1) in Lemma 4.

3. Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. Set $d = \sqrt[3]{1+\varepsilon}$. Since

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+|f(d^2re^{i\theta})|\mathrm{d}\theta = T(d^2r,f) - N(d^2r,f)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log^+|f(dre^{i\theta})|\mathrm{d}\theta = T(dr,f) - N(dr,f),$$

there exist a point z_1 with $|z_1| = d^2r$ and a point z_2 with $|z_2| = dr$ such that

$$\log|f(z_1)| \geq T(d^2r, f) - N(d^2r, f)$$

and

$$\log|f(z_2)| = T(dr, f) - N(dr, f).$$

For z_1 and z_2, from Lemma 3, $\delta = d_A(z_1, z_2)$ with $A = A(r, d^3r)$ is bounded with respect to r. Taking $C \geq d^3$, in view of (1.1) and (2.6), for all sufficient large r, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T(d^2r, f) - N(d^2r, f) &\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\phi(d^2r)\log d^2r}\right) T(d^2r, f) \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\phi(d^2r)\log d^2r}\right)\left(1 + \frac{\log d}{\log dr}\right) T(dr, f) \\
&\geq \left(1 + \frac{\log d}{2\log dr}\right) T(dr, f) \text{ (by the result (1) of Lemma 4)} \\
&\geq T(dr, f) + \log(1 + 2(1+2C)^\delta e^{\kappa\delta}).
\end{aligned}$$

The same argument implies that

$$T(dr, f) - N(dr, f) > T(r, f) + \log(2C).$$

We need to treat two cases. (I) Assume that $A(r, d^3r)$ contains no poles of f. Take arbitrarily an $a \in B(0, \frac{1}{2}|f(z_2)|)$. Suppose that $a \notin f(A(r, d^3r))$. Set $g(z) = f(z) - a$. Then $0 \notin g(A(r, d^3r))$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
|g(z_1)| &= |f(z_1) - a| \geq |f(z_1)| - |a| \\
&\geq \exp(T(d^2r, f) - N(d^2r, f)) - |a| \\
&\geq (1 + 2(1+2C)^\delta e^{\kappa\delta})\exp(T(dr, f)) - |a| \\
&\geq 2(1+2C)^\delta e^{\kappa\delta}\exp(T(dr, f)) \\
&\geq (1+2C)^\delta e^{\kappa\delta}(\exp(T(dr, f)) + |a|) \\
&\geq (1+2C)^\delta e^{\kappa\delta}(|f(z_2)| + |a|) \\
&\geq (1+2C)^\delta e^{\kappa\delta}|g(z_2)|. \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Then in view of Lemma 2, we have

$$g(A(r, d^3r)) \supset A\left(e^\kappa \left(\frac{|g(z_2)|}{|g(z_1)|}\right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})|,\ e^{-\kappa}\left(\frac{|g(z_1)|}{|g(z_2)|}\right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})|\right)$$

for some point $\hat{z} \in A(r, d^3r)$ with $|g(z_2)| \leq |g(\hat{z})| \leq |g(z_1)|$. This reduces that

$$\begin{aligned}
f(A(r, d^3r)) &\supset A\left(e^\kappa \left(\frac{|g(z_2)|}{|g(z_1)|}\right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})| + |a|,\ e^{-\kappa}\left(\frac{|g(z_1)|}{|g(z_2)|}\right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})| - |a|\right) \\
&\supset A\left(|g(\hat{z})| + |a|,\ e^{-\kappa}\left(\frac{|g(z_1)|}{|g(z_2)|}\right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})| - |a|\right).
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of (3.1) and noting that $|a| \leq |f(z_2)| - |a| \leq |g(\hat{z})|$, we have

$$e^{-\kappa}\left(\frac{|g(z_1)|}{|g(z_2)|}\right)^{1/\delta} |g(\hat{z})| - |a| \geq (1+2C)|g(\hat{z})| - |a| \geq C(|g(\hat{z})| + |a|).$$

Thus

$$f(A(r,d^3r)) \supset A(|g(\hat{z})|+|a|,\ C(|g(\hat{z})|+|a|)). \tag{3.2}$$

Now we assume that there does not exist any $a \in B(\frac{1}{2}|f(z_2)|)$ such that $a \notin f(A(r,d^3r))$, that is to say, $f(A(r,d^3r)) \supset B(0,\frac{1}{2}|f(z_2)|)$. Of course, we have

$$f(A(r,d^3r)) \supset A((2C)^{-1}|f(z_2)|, 2^{-1}|f(z_2)|). \tag{3.3}$$

It follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that there exists a r_1 with

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &\geq \min\{|g(\hat{z})|+|a|, (2C)^{-1}|f(z_2)|\} \\ &\geq \min\{|f(z_2)|, (2C)^{-1}|f(z_2)|\} = (2C)^{-1}|f(z_2)| \\ &\geq \exp T(r,f) \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$f(A(r,d^3r)) \supset A\left(r_1, d^3r_1\right). \tag{3.4}$$

(**II**). Now assume that $A(r,d^3r)$ contains a pole of f. Then it is easy to see that there exists $r_1 \geq \exp T(r,f)$ such that (3.4) holds.

Therefore, in one word, we always have (3.4). The same argument as in above implies that

$$f^2(A(r,d^3r)) \supset f(A\left(r_1,d^3r_1\right)) \supset A\left(r_2,d^3r_2\right)),$$

where $r_2 \geq \exp T(r_1,f) \geq \hat{T}_2(r,f)$. Inductively, we have for $n \geq 1$

$$f^n(A(r,d^3r)) \supset A\left(r_n,d^3r_n\right)),$$

where $r_n \geq \hat{T}_n(r,f)$. In terms of Lemma 1, there exists a point $z_0 \in A(r,d^3r)$ such that (1.2) holds. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Take a sequence of positive numbers $\{r_n\}$ such that $r_n > n$, $r_{n+1} > 16r_n^2$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r_n^2} < \frac{2}{7}$. Set

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{z^2}{r_n^2(z^2-r_n^2)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{z^2-r_n^2}+\frac{1}{r_n^2}\right).$$

f is a transcendental meromorphic function. For $|z|<1$, we have

$$|f(z)| < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r_n^2(r_n^2-1)} < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r_n^2} < 1.$$

This implies that $f(\{|z|<1\}) \subset \{|z|<1\}$ and so $\{|z|<1\}$ is in an invariant component of $F(f)$ under f.

For a positive integer N, consider a point $z \in A(2r_N, 4r_N^2)$. We estimate $|f(z)|$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{|z|^2-r_n^2}+\frac{1}{r_n^2}\right)+\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{r_n^2-|z|^2}+\frac{1}{r_n^2}\right) \\ &< \frac{2}{7}+\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{1}{4r_N^2-r_n^2}+\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r_n^2-16r_N^4} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{7}+\frac{N}{4r_N^2-r_N^2}+\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r_n^2}\frac{4}{3} \\ &< \frac{2}{7}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2}{7}\times\frac{4}{3}=1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f(A(2r_N, 4r_N^2)) \subset \{|z|<1\}$. This deduces that for all $n>0$, $A(2r_n, 4r_n^2)$ contains no points in $I(f)$. □

References

[1] A. F. Beardon and Ch. Pommerenke, *The Poincaré metric of plane domains*, J. London Math. Soc., 18(2)(1978), 475-483

[2] W. Bergweiler, *Iteration of meromorphic functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (1993),151-188.

[3] W. Bergweiler and A. Hinkenna, *On semiconjugation of entire functions,* Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 126(1999), 565-574

[4] W. Bergweiler, P. J. Rippon and G. M. Stallard, *Dynamics of meromorphic functions with direct or logarithmic singularities,* Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) 107 (2008), 368-400.

[5] W. Bergweiler, P. J. Rippon and G. M. Stallard, *Multiply connected wandering domains of entire functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) 107 (2013),

[6] P. Dominguez, *Dynamics of transcendental meromorphic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., 23(1998), 225-250

[7] A. E. Eremenko, *On the iteration of entire functions,* Dynamical system and ergodic theory, Banach Center Publications 23 (Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1989)339-345

[8] W. K. Hayman, *Some applications of the transfinite diameter to the thoery of functions,* J. Analyse Math., 1(1951), 135-154.

[9] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions,* Oxford University Press, 1964.

[10] P.J. Rippon and G.M. Stallard, *On sets where iterates of a meromorphic function zip towards infinity*, Bull. London Math. So., 32(2000), 528-536

[11] P.J. Rippon and G.M. Stallard, *Slow escaping points of meromorphic functions,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **363**(2011), 4171-4201

[12] P.J. Rippon and G.M. Stallard, *Fast escaping points of entire functions,* Proc. London Math. Soc., 105(2012), 787-820

[13] J. H. Zheng, *Value Distribution of Meromorphic Functions*, Tsinghua Univesity Press Beijing and Springer-Verlag Berlin, 2010.

[14] J. H. Zheng, *Dynamics of Transcendental Meromorphic Functions (in Chinese)*, Tsinghua University Press, 2004

[15] J. H. Zheng, *Hyperbolic metric and multiply connected wandering domains of meromorphic functions,* appear in Proc. Edinburg Math. Soc..

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, P. R. China

E-mail address: jzheng@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

Beijing Key Laboratory of Information Service Engineering, Department of General Education, Beijing Union University, Beijing, 100101, P. R. China

E-mail address: zuxingxuan@163.com